

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,425	08/03/2001	Gary Mittman	R258-DB	7477
31718	7590 08/05/2004		EXAMINER	
BELASCO, J	IACOBS & TOWNSI	RETTA, YEHDEGA		
HOWARD HU	JGHES CENTER	A DELIVER A	D. D	
6100 CENTER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 630		3622		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/922,425	MITTMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yehdega Retta	3622 Wil				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a religious of the maximum statutory perions from the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main three months.	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO.	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/2</u>	<u>24/04</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-8</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ina minituum dan 25 H O O S 440	(/-) ( <sub>1</sub> ) - (r)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. In the priority docume</li> <li>4. In the priority docume</li> <li>5. In the priority docume</li> <li>6. In the priority docume</li> <li>7. In the priority docume</li> <li>8. In the priority docume</li> <li>9. In the priority docume</li> <li>10. In the priority docume</li> <li>11. In the priority docume</li> <li>12. In the priority docume</li> <li>13. In the priority docume</li> <li>14. In the priority docume</li> <li>15. In the priority docume</li> <li>16. In the priority docume</li> <li>17. In the priority docume</li> <li>18. In the priority docume</li></ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Application in the contract of the contract	ation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the 137 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language page 13.	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 first sentence of the specification	9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This office action is responsive to amendment filled May 24, 2004.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argument to the combination or motivation to combine of the prior art is noted. However the court ruled as follows in regard to obviousness and motivation to combine.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

Applicant argues that the Benson system deals exclusively with a telecommunication environment and the instant invention being carried over the Internet. Further applicant states that the Benson system being limited in scope since the Benson system provides no way for media buyer of the system to measure the effectiveness of advertising media purchase in any environment other than through a PSTN. That is correct however Benson teaches how to measure the effectiveness of media purchase by providing information that leads customer to the point of sale, by providing an 800 number and tracking the activity. Examiner took official notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the 800 number by URL in order to lead customer to the point of sale, which is the web site. Further Examiner stated that it would have been obvious to determine the geographic location associated with the Internet protocol address which is analogous to Benson's determination of geographic location by cross-referencing caller's number and zip-code.

The following prior art are provided to support the official notice taken by the Examiner.

- a) "Intel's Pentium II gets \$20 mil intro"; (Intel launching Pentium II microprocessor worldwide on 5/7/97, backed by a \$20 mil ad campaign), "Chip maker will use Web sites to test response to online, print ads" which teaches including a web address on printed media and banner ads and measuring each medium's effectiveness in driving traffic to the site.
- b) "Draft helps put stamp of approval on campaign"; (Stamps.com launches a new advertising campaign that includes a direct mail piece and a direct response TV spot), which teaches including unique URL on TV promotion which allow tracking of response to the TV promotion.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,425 Page 4

Art Unit: 3622

c) "Marketing communication and the word wide web". (Opportunities of internet marketing described), which teaches well-known awareness generating techniques that includes placing the web site address in all advertising to generate a flow of surfers to the web site and calculating the awareness efficiency.

d) Gardner SR., US Pub. No. 2003/0023511 A1, which teaches tracking online activity to the advertising source which initially led a consumer to a given web address.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benson U.S. Patent No. 6,470,079 and further in view of Cope U.S. Application Publication No. 2002/0046281.

Regarding claims 1-8, Benson teaches advertising media purchase placed in either of movies, video, television, print, etc. within stated geographic area, tracking goals achieved, correlating and reporting the times of the goals achieved by customer... Benson teaches each media purchase including a unique directory number for accessing further information related to the subject matter of the media purchase. Benson teaches a database containing records correlating user geographic information, by cross-referencing caller's number and zip-code (see col. 5 line 18 to col. 7 line 10 and col. 9 line 55 to col. 10 line 32). Benson teaches all claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/922,425

Art Unit: 3622

features except for the media purchase including a web address and correlating user protocol address to the geographic area and tracking the Internet related goals. Official Notice is taken that providing a web address on media purchase is old and well known in the art of marketing. One would be motivated to provide a web address on media purchase, instead of "800", in order to direct the user to the point of sale (web site). Official Notice is taken that determining geographic location associated with an Internet Protocol address is old and well known in the art of WWW. One would be motivated to determine the geographic location of users in order to report demographic information of the respondents to the campaign, as taught by Benson. Cope teaches tracking web related goals and correlating and reporting the timing of Internet related goals (see page 2 par. 29 to page 5 par. 73). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Benson's reporting of advertising campaign with Cope's tracking of Internet related goals in order to evaluate the advertising effectiveness of the purchase media, as taught by Benson (see col. 1 line 65 to col. 2 line 55).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/922,425

Art Unit: 3622

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (703) 305-0436. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yehdega Retta **Primary Examiner**  Page 6

Art Unit 3622

YR